Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/783,248	DECICCO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey E. Russel	1654
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Finally rejected</u>		
(1) <u>Jeffrey E. Russel</u> .	(3)	
(2) Wendy A. Choi.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 August 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: All		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. Jeffrey Edwin Russel Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1654 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner faxed courtesy copy of Advisory action to be mailed in response to the amendment after final filed July 29, 2004. Applicants faxed a draft supplemental amendment after final rejection which, after a few changes to claims 12, 14, and 16, will place the application in condition for allowance. Applicants will submit formal supplemental amendment after final through the usual channels.